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Vulnerable (e.g., LGBTQ, homeless, disabled, racial/ethnic minority, and/or poor) youth disproportionately report challenges at school compared to their majority counterparts, but we are not always sure of the best ways to support these students. How might big data help to ameliorate experiences for vulnerable students who are not part of the majority (e.g., White, middle class, straight)? We review current ways that using big data can promote student engagement specific to school experiences where vulnerable youth share a disproportional amount of burden. We review extant uses of big data to track, involve, and monitor student progress and attendance. Additionally, we review the potential privacy implications and threats to students’ civil liberties.
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Introduction
While research on school experiences for students has bourgeoned over the past several decades [1–4], we know less about the experiences of vulnerable young people, especially within the school system, with few exceptions [5,6**]. Here, we operationalize vulnerable youth as those stigmatized and disadvantaged identities or positions in society; for example, LGBTQ, disabled, homeless, racial/ethnic minority, and/or poor young people. Research has found that many youth part of these vulnerable groups feel unsafe at school, which, for LGBTQ and racial minority youth as an example, is largely attributed to high rates of bias-based victimization [7,8]. For many of these youth, school environments are not becoming safer over time [6**]; there is foundational evidence that vulnerable groups of youth report more hostile school climates, greater absenteeism, compromised academic achievement, and lower academic aspirations compared to White, heterosexual, and able-bodied young people [9]. To address these clear disparities, scholars of the future will need to leverage novel techniques, such as the use of big data.

Big data in the social sciences has been operationalized as an interplay between technology, mythology, and analysis; that is, analyzing, aggregating, and cross-referencing large datasets [10]. While big data has enhanced our ability to reach the masses in new and exciting ways, vulnerable youth (especially young people in schools) in particular have been difficult to study for some time; these youths may have invisible identities (e.g., LGBTQ youth), be hard to reach (e.g., homeless youth), or not be available for survey participation (e.g., disabled youth). In this review of big data in school settings, we focus on vulnerable youths’ experiences at school, where they are oftentimes burdened with a disproportional amount of bullying and risk. It is important to note that providing recommendations for the practical application of big data in school settings is not the intention of this article. Instead, our goal is to provide a review of recent literature so that readers will be informed about practices being discussed by big data scholars.

Role of big data for vulnerable youth in schools: Student engagement
Information available to school administrators has traditionally been limited to variables such as conduct history and academic achievement, but novel sources of big data offer expanded possibilities relevant to the bullying and safety concerns faced by vulnerable students [11]. Modern advances in the collection and analysis of big data afford opportunities to draw insights from information that has previously been unavailable or difficult to access [10]; such information is currently being leveraged to improve the academic environment [12,13] in ways that benefit a wide range of stakeholders, including students who are vulnerable to bullying [6**,7], administrators and teachers invested in creating a safer school environment for students [14–17], and caregivers invested in the success, health, and happiness of their children [18]. In particular, big data might play a role in influencing student engagement [19].

Student engagement is typically conceptualized as a multidimensional construct; a predominant model [20] operationalizes student engagement as three distinct
dimensions: cognitive, behavioral, and emotional engagement. Cognitive engagement involves self-regulated learning and the use of deep learning strategies; behavioral involves participation, effort, and conduct issues such as absenteeism; and emotional involves feelings of belongingness and the student’s interpersonal relationships with teachers, classmates, and other members of the school community. Here, we focus on the latter two dimensions: Behavioral and emotional engagement are fruitful to explore in relation to vulnerable youth, given that vulnerable youth often experience higher rates of absenteeism [21], mental health concerns [22], and school victimization [23] compared to majority youth.

Behavioral engagement
Although numerous determinants of behavioral engagement have been identified, one aspect is particularly relevant to vulnerable youth: absenteeism (both excused and unexcused absences).

Absenteeism
Typical strategies employed to address absenteeism are parental and administrator involvement, yet vulnerable students oftentimes report poor parental, teacher, and administrator relationships [2]. Vulnerable youth report high rates of absenteeism at school [21], which research has linked to poor academic performance [24,25] and higher rates of school dropout [26], among other issues [27,28]. Vulnerable students have higher rates of absenteeism in part because they skip school to avoid getting bullied and harassed by their peers [21]. Clearly, these students are hesitant to engage with a culture that remains hostile, and removing oneself from a negative school environment serves as a coping strategy to keep one safe. Accordingly, absenteeism might be conceptualized as an indicator of bullying behaviors. In order to improve the culture within a school, administrators could use absenteeism data to better understand where bullying is occurring, when, and by whom.

School administrators are increasingly relying on digital dashboards in an effort to track absenteeism [29]. Dashboards are electronic interfaces that aggregate data stored in student information systems. Real-time summaries are produced, which can be used as decision aids by school administrators [30]. To facilitate ease of use, these dashboards typically display simplified statistical visualizations of key metrics, often in the form of historical trends. Data regarding excused and unexcused absences can be analyzed at multiple levels — school wide trends versus individual student trends, for instance. Moving beyond post hoc truancy monitoring, administrators can take preventative action by employing predictive modeling techniques that mine data and forecast outcomes [31]. These dashboard systems are able to monitor and predict absenteeism in a granular way, with predictability enhanced by the quantity and quality of input variables available when computing an estimated risk-factor score.

Given that many student-oriented events take place outside of the immediate school environment [32], additional big data technologies are relevant. For example, location-based data sources have been used to estimate and encourage participation. This may include self-reported location ‘check-ins’ on social media [33] or location data captured by smartphones equipped with GPS technology [34]. Even data obtained from radio-frequency identification tags (RFID) [35] and vehicle license plate readers [36] have been deemed useful sources of information by some. Another source of data comes from student identification cards [19]. Commonly used at colleges and universities, many school administrators require these cards to be swiped whenever a student enters a residential building, dining hall, library, or sporting venue. Although potentially useful to administrators, these applications of big data raise privacy concerns, which are discussed below.

Emotional engagement
In the context of vulnerable students’ experiences, two aspects of emotional engagement are especially relevant. The first involves students’ emotional reactions to educational activities, their teachers, and their peers. The second involves the feelings of belongingness and relatedness that vulnerable students may or may not be experiencing within the school setting.

School environments can elicit a host of emotional reactions; some (e.g., hope) have been linked to positive learning outcomes such as self-regulated learning and achievement whereas others (e.g., boredom) have been found to derail success [37]. Given the mental health disparities experienced by vulnerable youth [38], administrators have attempted to assess their school’s emotional climate and intervene when necessary [39]. For example, some are using protective software that allows them to monitor social media posts submitted by students [40]. Sophisticated algorithms identify linguistic patterns in the data that are predictive of emotional distress, mood states, and mental health problems [41], such as depression. When warning signs are evident, administrators may choose to intervene with the goal of eliminating existing safety concerns and preventing new ones before they arise.

Emotional engagement extends to other components of one’s school experience such as involvement in related activities. For instance, participation in school sporting events may serve to increase one’s identification with peers and perceptions of being an important and valuable member of the school community [42]. Similarly, emotional engagement is facilitated by the positive emotional experiences that stem from being an active member of
groups focused on student governance, professional development, community service, and other special interests [43]. Groups particularly relevant to the population at hand include gay/straight alliances and political advocacy committees. Because participation in these groups may serve to increase feelings of belongingness and relatedness, school administrators may choose to implement some of the same technologies used to prevent absenteeism.

In addition to these technologies, other emerging sources of big data might be leveraged in an effort to predict vulnerable students’ feelings and sense of belonging. Two examples involve insight gleaned from social networking sites such as Facebook and Twitter. The first is social network analysis [44,45], which is the process of visualizing the nodes and ties that form complex social structures (i.e., the student body) [46]. The number, mutuality, and strength of one’s interpersonal connections could possibly be used to predict emotional engagement and intervene at the school level when students are experiencing low levels of belonging. A second emerging technology focuses on the analysis of user-generated text. Sentiment analysis [47] is being utilized in some schools to detect and quantify the emotional content of phrases — whether they are written in documents, published on blogs, or posted to social networking sites. Basic algorithms assist school administrators in the detection of positive, negative, or neutrally valenced words and phrases. Advanced applications have been developed to gain more nuanced insight relevant to the detection of aggression, hostility, and fear related to bullying [48]. The data obtained has the potential to provide an understanding of students’ feelings of belongingness and relatedness with peers and the school community at large.

Privacy concerns: Big data and the protection of civil liberties
As big data technologies advance, opportunities for bullying prevention, detection, and intervention will surely grow in tandem. But, along with these benefits come significant privacy implications that cannot be ignored. The potential for violating civil liberties when implementing big data strategies should be of utmost concern [49–52]. And this is particularly concerning when the population includes youth (students) who are members of vulnerable populations subject to unwanted scrutiny (e.g., undocumented immigrants, LGBTQ). For example, it is a very real possibility that vulnerable students facing social and emotional stressors may further withdraw from the school environment when they are informed that school administrators are monitoring their behavioral and emotional engagement via big data. Specific to students identifying as LGBTQ, what might happen if in the process of preventing bullying, those who are sexual minorities are ‘outed’? And regarding students who are dealing with substance abuse issues, could their data be obtained by police and used to prosecute them [53]? In an effort to maintain anonymity, students may purposefully limit what they say and do, or refrain from reaching out for help and support, which may detract from their well-being and social development [54].

A recent study that explored privacy expectations and experiences among marginalized Internet users in a classroom setting found students had low expectations of privacy and high expectations of surveillance [55]; these students reported a lack of access to adequate solutions. In line with these concerns, the United States Department of Education has established a set of requirements and best practices for protecting student privacy in the digital age, such as being transparent with students, considering that parental consent may be appropriate, and maintaining awareness of laws like FERPA that are designed to protect student information. Despite these efforts, big data scholars have recently argued that considerable confusion remains and new student privacy laws are needed [56,57].

Conclusion and additional drawbacks of big data

Despite the clear strengths in using big data to better engage vulnerable students at school, there are some additional drawbacks of a practical nature that must be acknowledged. For example, analysis of big data is a complex process that requires an investment in financial and human resources [13]. Though the potential benefits for youth may be welcomed by relevant stakeholders, the implementation of big data analysis may be slow given these restrictions. Furthermore, any conclusions drawn from big data must be interpreted with caution due to methodological challenges such as a reliance on biased sampling frames and limited or incomplete data [58].

In sum, even some of the today’s most challenging student engagement problems may be easily addressable by leveraging big data, yet the potential drawbacks are meaningful. The ability of school administrators to improve the lives of vulnerable students via big data seems promising — if implemented with careful consideration of this special population’s civil liberties.
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